

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,667	02/08/2002	Kathy K. Wang	OSTEONICS 3.0-380	4016
530 . 7590 10/05/2006		•.	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			3733	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,667

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The corrected drawings submitted June 26th, 2006 is acknowledged and accepted by the examiner. The corresponding objections are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 11-13, 15-18, 79, 82-87, 89, 91-93, 95-98, and 101-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaplan (US Patent 5,282,861).

Kaplan discloses a porous biocompatible metal (niobium or tantalum, Column 3, Lines 24-25) foam network comprising: an open cell structure (as seen in Figure 1 and stated in Column 6, Lines 30-35) with a pore size of at least 150 microns for tissue ingrowth and of almost any porosity (Column 6, Line 33). The cell openings can be controlled by the amount of metal deposited on the carbon foam forming a continuous web. The device is intended for use in orthopedic applications (See Column 1, Line 7 through Column 3, Line 65) and be locked about a core of solid tantalum or niobium (Column 9, Lines 55-60).

Applicant has repeatedly attempted to define over the prior art by recitation of the process (e.g. using particles of varying size, metal starting webs, and claiming a sintering process). Whether a product is patentable depends on whether it is known in

Art Unit: 3733

the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by whether the process by which it is made is patentable. *In re Klug*, 333 F2d 905, 142 USPQ 161 (CCPA 1964). Also see *In re Hirao et al*, 535 F2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 where product-by-process claims are not construed as being limited to the product formed by the specific process recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan. Kaplan discloses all of the claimed limitations except is silent as to the thickness of the scaffold about the core being 0.5mm to 5mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the claimed range for the porous layer about a solid core, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 9-13, 15-18, 79, 82-87, 89-93, 95-98, and 101-103 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment adding the limitation "biocompatible" necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Shaffer

September 26th, 2006

EDUARDO C. ROBERT